

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/945,459	12/09/1997	FUSAO MAKISHIMA	146.1275	2741	
6449 759	00 11/14/2005	EXAMINER			
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			ROMEO,	ROMEO, DAVID S	
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/945,459	MAKISHIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David S. Romeo	1647		

	David S. Romeo	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entire a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			THE ISSUES TO
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>49-66</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and action.	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will govit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
 and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filinentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the co			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	,	*	
		David S Romeo Primary Examiner	mio

Art Unit: 1647

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on the other evidence of Yaron (Methods in Enzymology, Volume 19, 1970, Pages 521-534), Klein (J Biol Chem. 2003 Nov 28;278(48):47862-7), and WO 03/043673 and this evidence has not been entered. Furthermore, WO 03/043673 was not submitted and is not of record. The examiner cannot consider evidence that is not of record.